Diet containing enough servings of fruits helps to maintain human health by its numerous antioxidants. In nature, fruit is rich in antioxidants as it is subjected to severe oxidative stress [Mittler et al. 2011]. Antioxidants in fruit have been reported to reduce oxidative damage in our body [Halliwell 2012]. The antioxidants are known to play an important role in ameliorating oxidation process by quenching free radicals, chelating metals and scavenging oxygen in foods and biological systems [Anwar and Przybylski 2012]. Tropical fruits have high antioxidants and the major antioxidants in these fruits are phenolic compounds. Passion fruit...
Passion fruit (Passiflora edulis), which belongs to the family Passifloraceae, is one of the tropical fruits in Southeast Asia. Passion fruit is small, egg-like in shape, and about 5-8 cm in diameter that grow on long, trailing vines [Janick and Paull 2008]. The fruit is either yellow or dark purple colour when matured, with a soft vine, juicy interior filled with numerous dark brown (for yellow form) or black (for purple form), pitted seeds. The peel of passion fruit becomes hardens and wrinkles during maturity [Hooper 1994]. The purple coloured passion fruit has more pleasant flavour than the yellow one. Yellow passion fruit is usually used for food processing [Janick and Paull 2008]. Passion fruit is a good source of vitamins, minerals, dietary fiber, and phytochemicals such as flavonoids [Janick and Paull 2008, Yapo and Koffi 2008].

The presence of numerous antioxidant phytochemicals in passion fruit contributes to its therapeutic significance. One tonne of passion fruit is known to produce about 300 kg of juice, 110 kg of seeds, 320 kg of inner peel and 225 kg of outer peel. This shows that the inedible parts of passion fruit are more than the edible portion [Sai-Ut et al. 2010]. Studies reported that fruit peels have higher antioxidant activity as compared to the pulp [Shui and Leong 2006, Gonzalez-Montelongo et al. 2010, Babbar et al. 2011]. Oral administration of passion fruit peel extracts was found to reduce wheeze and cough in asthma adults [Watson et al. 2008], reduce pain and stiffness in adult patients with knee osteoarthritis [Farid et al. 2010], and alleviating hypertension [Zibadi et al. 2007]. Fruit peel has also been described as waste of fruit consumption or by-product of food processing. Fully utilization of fruit peels as cattle feed will help to reduce waste materials from agro-food industries, as well as effective utilization of inexpensive natural sources for nutritional and functional purposes.

Extraction is an important process for isolation of phenolic antioxidants from fruit [Lapornik et al. 2005]. Extraction parameters such as type of solvent, solvent concentration, extraction temperature, extraction time, and solvent-to-solid ratio are the major consideration for enhancement of extraction efficiency to obtain optimal amount of bioactive compounds in the extract [Pinelo et al. 2005, Naczk and Shahidi 2006, Spigno et al. 2007]. Different drying methods used have also been reported to affect the antioxidant activity of fruits and vegetables [Choi et al. 2006, Chantaro et al. 2008, Kuljarachanan et al. 2009, Suvarnakuta et al. 2011]. To the best of our knowledge, studies on the effect of different extraction conditions on antioxidants in fruit peel are still scarce. Each extraction condition should be applied to single type of fruit sample. As different type of fruit sample has its own range of phytochemical content, therefore, this study aimed to investigate the influence of different extraction conditions on DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity for passion fruit peel. The study also aimed to determine total phenolic content and antioxidant activities of the passion fruit peel based on the best extraction condition.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample preparation. Passion fruit was purchased from a local farmer in Bukit Tinggi, Pahang, Malaysia. Only fresh passion fruit without damages was selected. The fruit was washed under running tap water and its peel was separated from pulp. The passion fruit peels were cut into pieces of 1 cm³ uniformly and oven-dried using an universal oven UFB 500 (Memmert GmbH & Co. KG, Schwabach, Germany) at oven temperature of 45°C until a constant weight obtained. Before extraction, the oven-dried samples were ground into powder form using a laboratory grinder and vacuum-packed using a DZQ 400/500 single vacuum chamber packager (Rotech Pharmaceutical Engineering Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The sample was stored at –20°C before further analyses.

Sample extraction. The passion fruit peel powder (5 g) was extracted by adding 50 ml of aqueous ethanol at a ratio of 1:10 and agitated at 150 rpm at room temperature (25°C) for 60 min using an Incu-Shake MINI benchtop incubated shaker (SciQuip, Staffordshire, UK). The residues were removed by filtration using Whatman No. 1 filter papers. The residues were collected, re-extracted with the aqueous solvent, and centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 15 min using a benchtop centrifuge Mikro 200 (Hettich, Tuttingen, Germany). The supernatants were concentrated by using a Rotavapor® R-210 rotary evaporator (Buchi, Flawil, Switzerland) at 40°C. The concentrated extract was freeze-dried and stored at –20°C until further analysis. The peel powder was extracted in triplicate for each extraction condition.
**Experimental design.** Single factor experiment was used to determine the optimum extraction condition for passion fruit peel. The influence of extraction parameters, namely ethanol concentration, extraction time, and extraction temperature on DPPH radical scavenging activity for the passion fruit peel were studied [Thoo et al. 2010]. Different ethanol concentrations (0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100%, v/v) were first applied with other variables remained constant at room temperature (25°C) for 60 min. Then different extraction times of 60, 120, 180, 240, and 300 min at room temperature were applied using the best ethanol concentration determined. Finally, the extraction was carried out based on different extraction temperatures (25, 30, 40, 50 and 60°C) applying the best ethanol concentration and extraction time. The best ethanol concentration, extraction time and extraction temperature were determined based on the highest DPPH radical scavenging activity. Based on the screening tests, 500 μg/ml extract yielded the highest DPPH radical scavenging activity compared to other extract concentrations (100, 200, and 300 μg/ml). Therefore, 500 μg/ml of the extract was applied in this study. To determine the EC<sub>50</sub> values for DPPH and ABTS assays, 100-500 μg/ml of the extract and synthetic antioxidants (BHA and α-tocopherol) were used to plot the standard calibration curve for calculation of EC<sub>50</sub> value. The synthetic antioxidants (500 μg/ml) were used for comparative purposes.

**Determination of total phenolic content.** Total phenolic content (TPC) was measured spectrophotometrically based on a method described by Ferreira et al. [2007]. Firstly, 1 ml of sample extract was mixed with 4 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (previously diluted 10 times) and the mixture was allowed to stand for 3 min at room temperature. Then, 5 ml of 7.5% sodium carbonate solution was added to the mixture, vortexed vigorously and kept at room temperature in dark for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a PRIM Light spectrophotometer (Secomam, Cedex, France) against a blank (distilled water). The standard curve of gallic acid was y = 0.0165x + 0.0003 (R² = 0.9972). TPC was expressed as μg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per gram sample (fresh weight).

**DPPH radical scavenging assay.** DPPH radical scavenging activity of passion fruit peel was determined according to a method of Tsai et al. [2009]. Sample extract (1 ml) was added with 500 μl of DPPH solution (final concentration of 0.2 mM in ethanol) in a test tube. The mixture was swirled vigorously using a vortex mixer VTX-3000L (LMS, Tokyo, Japan), and kept in dark for 30 min. Then, the absorbance of the mixture was measured using the spectrophotometer at 517 nm against ethanol blank, and distilled water was used as negative control. Scavenging activity of the sample extract was calculated based on the equation below:

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \right] \times 100
\]

The calibration for the percentage of scavenging activity was plotted against logarithm (Log) of extract concentration to calculate EC<sub>50</sub> that defined as the concentration of the extract to reduce the initial DPPH concentration by 50%, where EC<sub>50</sub> was obtained from a linear regression equation. BHA and α-tocopherol were used as comparative standards.

**ABTS radical inhibition activity.** A modified procedure as described by Re et al. [1999] was applied to perform ABTS [2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)] assay by reacting 5 ml of 7 mM ABTS with 88 μl of 140 mM potassium persulfate (K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) in a reagent bottle. The mixture was kept in dark for 16-18 h at room temperature to allow complete generation of ABTS radical cation (ABTS<sup>•+</sup>). The mixture was then diluted with 95% ethanol in order to obtain an absorbance of 0.70±0.05 when measured using the spectrophotometer at 734 nm. Sample extract (100 μl) was mixed with 1 ml of the ABTS reagent. The mixture was vortexed and incubated for 6 min at room temperature before the absorbance was measured at 734 nm against ethanol blank. Distilled water (100 μl) was used as control. BHA and α-tocopherol were for comparison. The inhibition activity was calculated based on the following equation:

\[
\text{ABTS radical inhibition ability (\%)} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{A}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{A}_{\text{control}}} \right] \times 100
\]

**Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay.** Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay was conducted according to a method of Wojdylo et al. [2007] with slight modification. FRAP reagent was prepared by mixing 10 mM 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mM HCl with 20 mM ferric trichloride hexahydrate (FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) and 0.3 M acetate buffer.
(pH 3.6) at a ratio of 10:1:1 (v/v/v). The FRAP reagent was kept in a water bath WB/OB 7-45 (Memmert GmbH & Co. KG, Schwabach, Germany) at 37°C for 10 min. The FRAP reagent (1.5 ml) was added with 50 μl of sample extract, and then the mixture was swirled and incubated for 4 min. The absorbance was measured at 593 nm against a blank (FRAP reagent). BHA and α-tocopherol were for comparison. FRAP value was calculated based on a calibration curve plotted against various concentrations of Trolox (10-400 μg/ml), and the result was expressed as Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (μg TE/g sample). The calibration equation was $y = 0.005x - 0.021$ ($R^2 = 0.998$).

β-Carotene bleaching assay. β-Carotene bleaching (BCB) assay was conducted based on a method described by Yawadio et al. [2008] with slight modification. To prepare the working reagent, 200 μg of β-carotene was dissolved in 1 ml of chloroform, and then mixed with 0.02 ml of linolenic acid and 0.2 ml of Tween 40 in a round bottom flask. The chloroform in the mixture was removed under vacuum at 40°C using the rotary evaporator. The remaining solution was diluted with 50 ml of oxygenated water and shaken vigorously to form an emulsion mixture. The assay was performed by addition of 0.5 ml of sample extract to 4.0 ml of the emulsion mixture. The mixture was immediately placed into the water bath for 2 h at 50°C. The absorbance (at 470 nm) of the mixture was measured at 0 min and at 20 min interval until 120 min of incubation. BHA and α-tocopherol were used for comparison. The degradation rate of the sample was calculated based on the formula as follows:

$$\text{Degradation rate (R)} = \frac{\ln (\text{abs}_{t=0} / \text{abs}_{t=20, 40, 60, 80, 100, \text{and } 120 \text{ min}})}{t}$$

where $\ln$ is the natural log and $t$ is the initial absorbance at 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, or 120 min. Antioxidant activity (AA) was calculated as percentage of inhibition relative to control using the equation as follows:

$$\% \text{AA} = 100 \times \frac{(R_{\text{control}} - R_{\text{sample}})}{R_{\text{control}}}$$

where $R_{\text{control}}$ and $R_{\text{sample}}$ are the degradation rate in the emulsion without antioxidant and with sample extract, respectively.

Statistical analysis. All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and analysed using the SPSS statistical software version 20 (SPSS Inc., Illinois, USA). The data were analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Bonferroni’s test for means comparison. Pearson correlation test was used to determine the correlations between TPC and antioxidant activities (DPPH, ABTS, FRAP and BCB). The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of different extraction conditions on DPPH radical scavenging activity. The effects of different ethanol concentrations, extraction times, and extraction temperatures on percentages of DPPH radical scavenging activity for the passion fruit peel are shown in Figure 1. Different percentages of ethanol used significantly affected ($p < 0.05$) the DPPH radical scavenging activity of the passion fruit peel extract. The highest percentage of scavenging activity was determined for 40% ethanol, followed by 60%, 80%, 20%, and 0% ethanol. The used of 100% ethanol for the extraction of passion fruit peel gave the significantly lowest percentage of DPPH radical scavenging activity (Fig. 1 A). Based on the result obtained, it could be postulated that the passion fruit peel has a wide range of phenolic compounds with different polarities.

As shown in Figure 1 B, the percentages of DPPH radical scavenging activity obtained, 40% ethanol was chosen as the best extraction solvent for further extraction steps applying different extraction times. Similarly, 40% ethanol was also found to be most effective in extracting catechins from green and white tea [Rusak et al. 2008]. Our result is in agreement with some of the studies that binary solvent system particularly aqueous alcohol was more effective than mono-solvent system in extracting antioxidant compounds [Choi et al. 2006, Ghafoor et al. 2009]. Aqueous ethanol is commonly used in extraction of antioxidants in plant [Chew et al. 2012]. Higher DPPH radical scavenging activity should be found in alcoholic extracts compared to water extract because alcoholic solvent maximizes the interaction of DPPH radicals with antioxidants present in the sample [Spigno et al. 2007].

As shown in Figure 1 B, the percentages of DPPH radical scavenging activity of the passion fruit peel extract were significantly different among the different extraction times. The result showed that 60 min extraction time yielded the highest percentage of scavenging
activity, followed by 120 min and 300 min. While 180 min and 240 min of extraction had the lowest percentages of scavenging activity, where no significant difference was found for the percentages of scavenging activity between 180 min and 240 min of extraction. The decrease in the scavenging activity after 60 min extraction time could be explained by the Fick’s second law of diffusion, where final equilibrium among the solute concentrations in the solid matrix and in solvent was estimated to be achieved after 1-2 h of extraction duration [Silva et al. 2007]. Longer extraction time might increase the oxidation of phenolic compounds, thus contributing to lower DPPH radical scavenging activity [Naczk and Shahidi 2006]. The use of different extraction times to extract phenolic compounds may be due to the varying degrees of phenolic polymerization, solubility of phenolics and interaction between phenolic compounds and sample extract [Silva et al. 2007], where the final equilibrium between solvent and solid diffusion was attained exactly at an optimum extraction point [Lee et al. 1986]. After considering the cost of extraction time and its practicality based on the high scavenging activity, the best extraction time for extraction of antioxidants in the passion fruit peels was 60 min.

Applying both 40% ethanol and 60 min extraction time, the uses of different extraction temperatures have significantly affected the percentages of DPPH radical scavenging activity of the passion fruit peel extract (Fig. 1 C). The results demonstrated that 30°C was the best extraction temperature, which gave the significantly highest scavenging activity compared to the other extraction temperatures used (p < 0.05). Applying >40°C of heat during the extraction has significantly reduced the percentage of scavenging activity. However, no significant difference was found for the percentages of scavenging activity between 25°C and 60°C of heat treatment. The DPPH radical scavenging activity was enhanced when the extraction temperature increased from 25°C to 30°C. Liquid-solid extraction is a mass transport phenomenon where sample matrix migrates into solvent and in contact with the matrix [Corrales et al. 2009]. It can be enhanced by altering the extraction temperature, extraction time or solvent concentration. It was reported that an increase in temperature favoured extraction, increased bond dissociation energy of phenolic compounds, as well as enhanced solubility and diffusion coefficients of the compounds [Spigno et al. 2007]. On the contrary, a high extraction temperature (>40°C) will cause some loss of organic solvent through evaporation, and also degradation of bioactive compounds [Naczk and Shahidi 2006]. Taking into consideration from the industrial point of view, higher extraction temperature (>30°C) increase the usage of organic solvent and electrical cost, selection of 30°C would be the most appropriate extraction temperature for the passion fruit peel to maximize the extraction yield and minimize degradation of antioxidants at high temperature.
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TPC and antioxidant activity of passion fruit peel.
Selection of the best extraction condition (40% ethanol, 60 min extraction time, and 30°C extraction temperature) had yield at optimal level of antioxidant properties for the passion fruit peel. As depicted in Table 1, the result showed that applying the best extraction condition, 15.84 μg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per g sample was determined for the passion fruit peel. Moderate level of antioxidant activity was also determined for the passion fruit peel. Assessed using FRAP and BCB assays, the extract of passion fruit peel had 30.94 μg TE/g sample and 68.54% of inhibition, respectively (Table 1). However, a high EC50 values (>500 μg/ml extract) that obtained from DPPH and ABTS assays were calculated for the passion fruit peel extract. This shows that antioxidants in the passion fruit peel extract did not strongly prevent decolorization of DPPH radical cation. It may be due to the moderately low level of TPC in the extract. On the other hand, applying similar concentration as for the extract, BHA and α-tocopherol had extremely high antioxidant activities. As shown in Table 1, the EC50 values of the synthetic antioxidant were lesser than 0.01 μg/ml. It shows that a low amount of the synthetic antioxidant is needed to give 50% of the inhibition activity. Besides, the synthetic antioxidant also had high TE values and inhibition percentages of BCB (Table 1). BHA had significantly higher TE values than α-tocopherol; while the BCB inhibition percentages of both of the antioxidant standards were not significantly differed, where both of the synthetic antioxidant had >96% of inhibition activities. Antioxidant activities of the passion fruit peel extracts were all significantly lower (p < 0.05) than α-tocopherol and BHA.

Optimization of solvent concentration, extraction time and extraction temperature is important for extraction of phenolic compounds from natural sources [Spigno et al. 2007]. Although the extraction method was optimized, low inhibition abilities of the antioxidants in the passion fruit peel extract were determined using DPPH and ABTS assays. Similarly, a low FRAP value was also obtained for the extract. A possible explanation for the low antioxidant activities that assessed using these antioxidant assays is the antioxidants extracted using these optimized extraction condition, especially the use of aqueous ethanol is not a strong reducing agents as moderately level of TPC was determined. According to Huang et al. [2005], DPPH, ABTS and FRAP assays were categorised as antioxidant assays involving electron-transfer reaction pathways. Antioxidants in any extracts must be strong reducing agents to reduce the radical cations generated in the reagents. In this case, the low TPC in the passion fruit peel extract has proven that the peel extract should be containing other phytochemical besides phenolic compounds. It was supported by the moderately BCB inhibition activity of the passion fruit peel extract as the antioxidants in the extract were moderately inhibited the bleaching of β-carotene under oxidative stress condition.

Other than the assays involve electron-transfer reaction pathway, BCB assay involves hydrogen transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TPC, μg GAE/g</th>
<th>EC50, μg/ml</th>
<th>FRAP, μg TE/g</th>
<th>BCB, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DPPH</td>
<td>ABTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passion fruit peel</td>
<td>15.84 ±0.63</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>68.54 ±7.52b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>98.91 ±0.26a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tocopherol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>96.36 ±0.23a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value was expressed as mean ±standard deviation of triplicate analyses, except for EC50 value. Different superscripts lower case letters (a-c) within the same column for FRAP and BCB assays denote significantly different at p < 0.05. EC50 values for DPPH and ABTS assays were calculated by interpolation of linear regression analysis (based on concentration-dependent result – data not shown). It was defined as effective concentration that able to scavenge 50% of the total DPPH/ABTS radicals.
reaction pathway. Any non-reducing antioxidant is also able to inhibit the degradation of β-carotene due to increasing oxidative stress. Based on the morphology of passion fruit peel, the peel has whitish inner layer and purplish outer layer. Yapo and Koffi [2008] reported that the peel of passion fruit was rich in dietary fiber. Mandelonitrile glycosides have been isolated from the passion fruit peel [Chassagne et al. 1996]. These compounds could have low antioxidant activity and possibly acted as pro-oxidant. On the other hand, previous studies have shown that higher ABTS radical scavenging activity was found in hydrophilic than hydrophobic extracts [Gao et al. 2000, Zieleński and Kozłowska 2000], while another study also showed that DPPH assay was limited to interpreting hydrophobic antioxidants [Huang et al. 2005]. Hence, it could possibly be deduced that the antioxidants present in passion fruit peel may possess more lipophilic in nature. BCB assay has also simulated membrane based lipid oxidation [Prior et al. 2005]. Besides, antioxidants in food are normally referred to any substances that can inhibit fatty acid autoxidation [Huang et al. 2005]. Since passion fruit peel extract showed moderate BCB activity, the passion fruit peel can be considered as a potential source of antioxidants.

**Correlations between TPC and antioxidant activities.** The Pearson correlation coefficient values (r) determined for the TPC and antioxidant activities of the passion fruit peel extract are shown in Table 2. In this study, Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between the TPC and antioxidant activities (DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, and BCB assays) of the extract were ranged between 0.878 and 0.996. The results showed that positive and very high correlations were found among the TPC and antioxidant activities, except the antioxidant activity for BCB assay. According to the Guildford’s rule of thumb, r ≥ 0.9 is considered very high correlation (Guildford 1973). The correlation coefficient value (r) between TPC and BCB inhibition was 0.878. Referring to the rule of thumb, a positive and high correlation was determined between TPC and BCB inhibition. All the correlation coefficients determined were significant at p < 0.01.

A high correlation for the TPC and antioxidant activity indicated that high amount of total phenolics in the passion fruit peel will give rise to high antioxidant activity for the peel. Very high correlations were determined for the TPC and the three electron-transfer based assays proven that the passion fruit peel contained phenolic compounds that are strong reducing agents. Although the correlation value for TPC and BCB inhibition activity was not very high, these strong reducing agents (antioxidants) in the passion fruit peel are also able to inhibit the degradation of beta carotene. As mentioned earlier, due to the low TPC and high EC50 values determined for the passion fruit peel extract, a very high positive correlation was found for the TPC and antioxidant activity does not mean that the passion fruit peel has high antioxidant properties. Based on this finding, we assumed that the antioxidants present in passion fruit peel would probably be flavonoids or terpenoids as DPPH radical cation known to react specifically with low molecular weight phenolic compounds [Paixão et al. 2007]. The results of this study are also consistent with the finding by Wangcharoen and Morasuk [2007] that TPC were significantly correlated with FRAP, DPHH, and ABTS in some Thai culinary plants.

Antioxidant activity is not only contributed by phenolic compounds, other compounds such as ascorbic acid, tocopherols, carotenoids, reduced carbohydrates, and terpenes, as well as the synergistic effects among the antioxidants could also contribute to the total antioxidant activity of a particular sample matrix [Babbar et al. 2011]. The very high correlations between TPC and antioxidant activities (FRAP, ABTS, or DPPH) were not surprising due to the similarity of the redox reactions between these assays [Huang et al. 2005]. Further studies should be carried out to identify the potential phenolic compounds that contributed to the antioxidant activity. Perhaps, some of the unknown compounds in the passion fruit peel could have contributed to the antioxidant activity, thus more studies are needed to determine the relationship between these unknown and their antioxidant activities.

**Table 2.** Correlations between TPC and antioxidant activities (DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, and BCB assays) of the passion fruit peel extracted based on the chosen extraction conditions (40% ethanol, 30°C and 60 min of extraction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>DPPH</th>
<th>ABTS</th>
<th>FRAP</th>
<th>BCB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPC</td>
<td>0.991*</td>
<td>0.963*</td>
<td>0.996*</td>
<td>0.878*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values were significant at p < 0.01.
CONCLUSION

Different extraction conditions affected the TPC and antioxidant activities of passion fruit peel. Passion fruit peel extracted using the best extraction condition (40% ethanol as extraction solvent, 30°C of extraction temperature and 60 min of extraction time) had an optimal antioxidant activity. A moderate level of TPC (15.84 μg GAE/g sample) was determined in the passion fruit peel extract, while the antioxidant activities assessed using different antioxidant assays were varied. Due to the moderate level of TPC as antioxidants in the peel extract, the antioxidants could not be acting as strong reducing agents to give lower EC50 and higher TE values compared to the synthetic antioxidants. However, the peel extract was able to give 68.54% of inhibition activity for BCB assay. Therefore, the peel of passion fruit is still considered as a good source of antioxidant. It can also be used as potent source of pharmaceutical ingredient. In future, more studies are needed to identify the potential bioactive compounds in passion fruit peel and the related health benefits.
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